## Gross Motor Functional Classification System (GMFCS)<sup>1</sup>: Please read the following and mark one box beside the description that **best** represents your child's movement abilities. Has difficulty sitting on their own and controlling their head and body posture in most positions and has difficulty achieving any voluntary control of movement and needs a specially adapted chair to sit comfortably and be transported anywhere and has to be lifted or hoisted by another person or special equipment to move Can sit with some pelvic and trunk support but does not stand or walk without significant support and therefore always relies on wheelchair when outdoors and can achieve selfmobility using a powered wheelchair and can crawl or roll to a limited extent to move around indoors Can stand on their own and only walks using a walking aid (such as a walker, rollator, crutches, canes, etc.) and finds it difficult to climb stairs, or walk on uneven surfaces without support and uses a variety of means to move around depending on the circumstances and prefers to use a wheelchair to travel quickly or over longer distances Can walk on their own without using walking aids, but needs to hold the handrail when going up or down stairs and therefore walks in most settings and often finds it difficult to walk on uneven surfaces, slopes or in crowds and may occasionally prefer to use a walking aid (such as a cane or crutch) or a wheelchair to travel quickly or over longer distances Can walk on their own without using walking aids, and can go up or down stairs without needing to hold the handrail and walks wherever they want to go (including uneven surfaces, slopes or in crowds) and can run and jump although their speed, balance, and coordination may be limited photos: https://www.cerebralpalsy.org.au/what-is-cerebral-palsy/severity-of-cerebral-palsy/gross-motor-function-classification-system/ text:: http://motorgrowth.canchild.ca/en/GMFCS/familyreportquestionnaire.asp] Functional Mobility Scale (FMS)<sup>2</sup>: The FMS was developed to classify functional mobility at three specific distances. Please rate the registrant's usual means of mobility using the numbers and descriptors below. It is not uncommon to have different scores for the different distances. Uses wheelchair, stroller or buggy: may stand for transfers and may do some stepping supported by another person or using a walker/frame short distances around the Uses walker or walking frame without help from another person house (about 5 meters) Uses two crutches without help from another person moderate distances between Uses one crutch or two sticks without help from another person. rooms within a building, such Uses rails for support on stairs, or furniture or walls for support. as school (about 50 meters) Independent on level surfaces: does not use walking aids or need help from another person. Does not use the rails when climbing stairs. Independent on all surfaces: does not use any walking aids or **longer** distances around the community (about 500 need any help from another person when walking, running, meters) climbing and climbing stairs The registrant crawls for mobility at home. Does not apply. The registrant does not complete distance.

**CPRR:** Exams for 12-17 year olds

	_			
	al Ability Classification System (MACS) <sup>3</sup> :			
	IACS was developed to classify how individuals with cerebral pa			
in daily activities, for example during play and leisure, eating and dressing. Please rate how the registrant uses <u>one or</u>				
	of their hands for activities, rather than assessing and classifying	· ·	=	-
	endence you might expect based on their age, and which situati	ons they are indepe	ndent and to what ex	tent do
they n	need support and adaptation?			
	Handles objects easily and successfully. At most, limitations in	•	-	quiring
	speed and accuracy. However, any limitations in manual abilit	ies do not restrict in	dependence in daily	
	activities.			
	Handles most objects but with somewhat reduced quality and	•		-
	be avoided or be achieved with some difficulty; alternative wa		might be used but ma	anual
	abilities do not usually restrict independence in daily activities			
	Handles objects with difficulty; needs help to prepare and/or	•	•	
	achieved with limited success regarding quality and quantity.	Activities are perfori	med independently i	they
	have been set up or adapted.			
	Handles a limited selection of easily managed objects in adapt		•	
	effort and with limited success. Requires continuous support a	and assistance and/o	or adapted equipmer	it, for
	even partial achievement of the activity.		5	<del></del>
	Does not handle objects and has severely limited ability to per	rform even simple a	ctions. Requires total	İ
	assistance.			
<u>Biman</u>	nual Fine Motor Function <sup>4</sup>			
	FMF is a system for grading how someone uses both of their hai			
	have similar abilities, as well as situations where one hand has	more ability than th	e other. Please checl	the box
that b	est describes your child's function			
				İ
		Left Hand	Right Hand	İ
	manipulates without restrictions	Ц	Ш	ı
	limitations in more advanced fine motor skills			İ
	only ability to grasp			İ
	no functional ability			ı
Summ	nary (can be filled in by coordinator if you are unsure)			
	_ '			
	limitations in more advanced fine motor skills			
	One hand manipulates without restrictions and the other hand has only ability to grasp or hold			
	Both hands have limitations in more advanced fine motor skills			
	One hand manipulates without restrictions and the other hand has no functional ability			
	One hand has limitations in more advanced fine motor skills a	nd <b>the other hand</b> h	nas only ability to gra	sp or
	worse			
	Both hands have only ability to grasp			
	One hand has only ability to grasp and the other hand has only ability to hold or worse			
_	<b>Roth hands</b> have only ability to hold or worse	<del></del>	-	

CPRR: Exams for 12-17 year olds

<u>Comm</u>	unication Functional Classification System <sup>3</sup>		
The CF	CS is designed to rate everyday typical communication behaviors. Please select the response that best describes		
the reg	gistrant's typical ability to communicate with those that they know and those that they don't know. Being a		
sender	r means that they are talking, or sending a message; being a receiver means they are listening/hearing a message.		
	Effective Sender and Receiver with unfamiliar and familiar partners. The person independently alternates		
	between sender and receiver roles with most people in most environments. The communication occurs easily		
	and at a comfortable pace with both unfamiliar and familiar conversational partners Communication		
	misunderstandings are quickly repaired and do not interfere with the overall effectiveness of the person's		
-	communication.		
	Effective but slower paced Sender and/or Receiver with unfamiliar and/or familiar partners. The person		
	independently alternates between sender and receiver roles with most people in most environments, but the		
	conversational pace is slow and may make the communication interaction more difficult. The person may need		
	extra time to understand messages, compose messages, and/or repair misunderstandings. Communication		
	misunderstanding are often repaired and do not interfere with the eventual effectiveness of the person's		
	communication with both unfamiliar and familiar partners		
	Effective Sender and Receiver with familiar partners. The person alternates between sender and receiver		
	roles with familiar (but not unfamiliar) conversational partners in most environments. Communication is not		
	consistently effective with most unfamiliar partners, but is usually effective with familiar partners.		
	Inconsistent Sender and/or Receiver with familiar partners. The person does not consistently alternate		
	sender and receiver roles. This type of inconsistency might be seen in different types of communicators		
	including: a) an occasionally effective sender and receiver; b) an effective sender but limited receiver; c) a		
	limited sender but effective receiver. Communication is sometimes effective with familiar partners.		
	<b>Seldom Effective Sender and Receiver even with familiar partners.</b> The person is limited as both a sender and		
	a receiver. The person's communication is difficult for most people to understand. The person appears to have		
	limited understanding of messages from most people. Communication is seldom effective even with familiar		
	partners.		
<b>Eating</b>	and Drinking Ability Classification System (EDACS) <sup>6</sup> :		
	read the following and mark only one box beside the description that <b>best</b> represents your child's eating and		
drinkin	ng abilities.		
_			
Ш_	Eats and drinks safely and efficiently.		
	Eats and drinks safely but with some limitations to efficiency.		
	Eats and drinks with some limitations to safety; there may be limitations to efficiency.		
	Eats and drinks with significant limitations to safety.		
	Unable to eat or drink safely – tube feeding may be considered to provide nutrition.		

<sup>1.</sup> Palisano, R., Rosenbaum, P., Bartlett, D., Livingston, M. (2008). Content validity of the expanded and revised Gross Motor Function Classification System. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 50 (10), 744-50.

<sup>2.</sup> Graham H.K., Harvey A., Rodda J., Nattrass G.R., Pirpiris M. (2004). The Functional Mobility Scale (FMS). JPO 24(5): 514–520.

<sup>3.</sup> Eliasson AC, Krumlinde-Sundholm L, Rösblad B, Beckung E, Arner M, Öhrvall AM, Rosenbaum P. The Manual Ability Classification System (MACS) for children with cerebral palsy: scale development and evidence of validity and reliability. Dev. Med Child Neur 2006. 48:549-554.

<sup>4.</sup> Himmelmann K, Beckung E, Hagberg G, Uvebrant P. Gross and fine motor function and accompanying impairments in cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol 2006, 48: 417–423.

<sup>5.</sup> Hidecker, M.J.C., Paneth, N., Rosenbaum, P.L., Kent, R.D., Lillie, J., Eulenberg, J.B., Chester, K., Johnson, B., Michalsen, L., Evatt, M., & Taylor, K. (2011). Developing and validating the Communication Function Classification System (CFCS) for individuals with cerebral palsy, Dev Med Child Neurol. 53(8), 704-710.

<sup>6.</sup> Sellers D, Mandy A, Pennington L, Hankins M and Morris C (2013). Development and reliability of a system to classify eating and drinking ability of people with cerebral palsy. Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology. DOI: 10.1111/dmcn12352.